A repeat pattern of founder events for 2 SARS-CoV-2 variants in Alaska

3	
4	Tracie J. Haan ¹ , Lisa K. Smith ² , Stephanie DeRonde ² , Elva House ² , Jacob Zidek ² , Diana
5	Puhak ² , Logan Mullen ¹ , Matthew Redlinger ³ , Jayme Parker ² , Brian M. Barnes ¹ , Jason L.
6	Burkhead ³ , Cindy Knall ⁴ , Eric Bortz ^{3,4,*} , Jack Chen ^{1,2,5,*} , and Devin M. Drown ^{1,5*}
7	
8	¹ Institute of Arctic Biology, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks, Alaska, USA
9	² Alaska Division of Public Health, State of Alaska, Fairbanks, Alaska, USA
10	³ Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alaska Anchorage, Anchorage, Alaska, USA
11	⁴ WWAMI School of Medical Education, University of Alaska Anchorage, Anchorage, Alaska,
12	USA
13	⁵ Department of Biology and Wildlife, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks, Alaska, USA
14	
15	* Corresponding authors: Devin M. Drown, dmdrown@alaska.edu; Eric Bortz
16	ebortz@alaska.edu; Jack Chen, jchen15@alaska.edu
17	
18	Running title: Repeat founder events in Alaska
19	Key Words: SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19; genomic epidemiology; variant; Variant of Concern
20	(VOC)

21 Abstract

Alaska is a unique US state because of its large size, geographically disparate 22 23 population density, and physical distance from the contiguous United States. Here, we describe 24 a pattern of SARS-CoV-2 variant emergence across Alaska reflective of these differences. 25 Using genomic data, we found that in Alaska the Omicron sublineage BA.2.3 overtook BA.1.1 26 by the week of 2022-02-27, reaching 48.5% of sequenced cases. On the contrary in the 27 contiguous United States, BA.1.1 dominated cases for longer, eventually being displaced by 28 BA.2 sublineages other than BA.2.3. BA.2.3 only reached a prevalence of 10.9% in the 29 contiguous United States. Using phylogenetics, we found evidence of potential origins of the two 30 major clades of BA.2.3 in Alaska and with logistic regression estimated how it emerged and 31 spread throughout the state. The combined evidence is suggestive of founder events in Alaska 32 and is reflective of how Alaska's unique dynamics influence the emergence of SARS-CoV-2 33 variants.

34 Introduction

35 Throughout the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, variants of severe acute 36 respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) have repeatedly emerged and spread, often 37 circulating globally over a relatively short timeframe (Mullen et al., 2020). These variants 38 frequently underwent mutations affecting viral phenotypes, such as increased transmissibility or 39 immune escape, which contributed to epidemic waves of cases and hospitalizations occurring 40 asynchronously across different regions at varying severities (Alcantara et al., 2022). The 41 sequential wave dynamics of COVID-19 have likely been influenced by a multitude of 42 epidemiological factors, such as host immunity and vaccination coverage, social measures aimed 43 at suppressing spread, and the viral characteristics, including transmissibility and the moderately 44 higher mutation rate for an RNA virus (Saha et al., 2021; Kirby, 2021; Tao, 2021).

45 For many regions of the world, the first notable COVID-19 epidemic wave attributed to a 46 variant occurred near the end of 2020 into early 2021. During this wave, the Alpha variant (lineage 47 B.1.1.7; Rambaut et al., 2020), which showed evidence of increased transmissibility, became the 48 most prevalent variant for most places globally (Volz et al., 2021). Unlike other regions, including 49 within the contiguous 48 states of the United States (hereafter referred to as Lower 48), Alaska's 50 dominant lineage was B.1.1.519 throughout early 2021, which was similar to Mexico in late 2020 51 (Haan et al., 2022; Rodríguez-Maldonado et al., 2021). The timeline in which Alpha and B.1.1.519 52 emerged in Alaska paired with the striking difference in prevalence between Alaska, which had a 53 peak B.1.1.519 prevalence of 77.9%, and the Lower 48, which had a peak prevalence of 4.9%, 54 was indicative of a B.1.1.519 founder event in Alaska (Haan et al., 2022). Since this initial 55 deviation from the Lower 48, Alaska has displayed similar patterns of variant emergence and 56 spread both with the sweep of the Delta variant in early August 2021 followed by the sweep of 57 Omicron beginning in December 2021 (CDC, 2021).

58 Although the recent emergence of Omicron in Alaska was initially similar to that of the 59 Lower 48, the emergence of sublineages within larger variant classifications has been distinct. These Omicron sublineages have shown a great degree of divergence that has led to concerns 60 61 about antibody evasion and the possibility of repeat infections of Omicron sublineages (Stegger 62 et al., 2022). Mutations include a spike protein (S) R346K alteration in BA.1.1 (also known as 63 B.1.1.529.1.1) and 8 unique S mutations in BA.2, which lacks 13 S alterations found in BA.1. The 64 Omicron sublineage reported in this study, BA.2.3, encodes several key amino acid changes 65 including A2909V in ORF1a and L140F in ORF3a. These changes may have provided growth 66 advantages such as antibody evasion and increased reproductive rate that allowed Omicron 67 lineages to displace Delta initially (Iketani et al., 2022; Smith et al., 2022).

68 Here, we use genomic data readily available via the Global Initiative on Sharing All 69 Influenza Data (GISAID) to describe the pattern of emergence and spread of the Omicron 70 sublineage BA.2.3 (also known as B.1.1.529.2.3) in Alaska. We contrast this pattern with 71 observations in the Lower 48 and several major US states including California, New York, and 72 Washington. Using data generated through genomic surveillance efforts, we explore the pattern 73 in Omicron sublineages of Alaska similar to that of the founder event that occurred with B.1.1.519 74 in early 2021 (Haan et al., 2022). These repetitive patterns of variant emergence are suggestive 75 of repeat founder events in Alaska.

76 Materials and Methods

77 Retrieving and Analyzing SARS-CoV-2 Sequence Data for Alaska

78 On May 3rd, 2022, we downloaded 11,971 sequences from Alaskan samples available on 79 GISAID for subsequent analysis (Shu & McCauley, 2017; Elbe & Merret, 2017). This readily 80 available genomic data was in part generated by the Alaska SARS-CoV-2 Sequencing 81 Consortium. The Consortium is a partnership between the University of Alaska and the Alaska 82 Division of Public Health (AKDPH) with the aim to increase genomic surveillance of SARS-CoV-83 2 variants. Genome sequencing in Alaska is from a non-targeted sample of cases, which is the 84 best available approximation of random samples despite potential disparate coverage across 85 Alaska's economic regions. We subset these data to the study date of 2021-11-29 through 2022-86 04-02 for a total of 3,132 genomes to estimate the prevalence of lineages per week on dates 87 beginning on Sunday of each week.

Lineages were determined by running sequences through PANGO v1.8, Pangolin v4.0.6, and pangoLEARN v1.2.133, and Scorpio v0.3.17 (O'Toole et al., 2021). We estimated the prevalence of genomes in Alaska from the date of Omicron's (B.1.1.529) first detection in Alaska on 2021-11-28 through 2022-04-03. All AY sublineages are aggregated into the group B.1.617.2 (Delta). All BA sublineages of Omicron except BA.1.1, BA.2, BA.2.3, and their sublineages are aggregated into B.1.1.529 (Omicron). Genomes that did not fall into these lineages were grouped together into the category 'Not Emerging Lineage.'

95 SARS-CoV-2 Sequence Data for the Lower 48

96 On April 12th, 2022, we downloaded metadata, including lineage assignment using the 97 same version of Pangolin (v4.0.6) for all sequences available on GISAID and filtered for 98 sequences from the United States of America (USA) collected between 2021-11-28 to 2022-04-

99 12. We removed cases from Alaska, Hawaii, and US territories to limit our comparisons to the
100 lower 48 contiguous states. We also analyzed data at a state level for New York, California, and
101 Washington. GISAID metadata was used to calculate the prevalence of variants, which was an
102 approximation based on percent of sequenced cases each day.

103 Visualizations, statistical analyses, and Nextstrain build

104 We generated visualizations in RStudio (v 1.4.1106) using packages ggplot2 (v 3.3.5). 105 ggpubr (v 0.4.0), tidyverse (v 1.3.1), and lubridate (v 1.7.10). A generalized linear model using 106 the logit link function with the base R stats (v 3.6.2) glm tool was used to generate estimates of 107 prevalence of BA.2.3 over time for Alaska and two economic regions; the Anchorage-Mat Su and 108 Gulf Coast. For these models, the daily percent of sequenced cases assigned to BA.2.3 (i.e., daily 109 prevalence of BA.2.3) was used as the dependent variable with time from 2022-01-01 through 110 2022-04-02 used as the independent variable. Regressions were plotted using geom smooth in 111 ggplot2. We generated a Nextstrain (cli v-3.2.4) build to examine the phylogenetic relationship of 112 BA.2.3 in Alaska compared to global sequences. We generated this tree using GISAID's Global 113 Nextregions for context, all BA.2.3 cases from Alaska, and global cases of BA.2.3 from 2021-12-114 02 through 2022-02-06 that included all cases from before 2022-01-01 and then downsampled to 115 a fifth of the sequences randomly after that date. We colored tree tips by countries of significance 116 including the Philippines, South Africa, Japan, India, and the USA split by 'USA- Alaska' and 117 'USA- Other.' Other country's cases were masked from the tree visualization.

118 Results and Discussion

119 Higher prevalence of Omicron lineage BA.2.3 in Alaska versus the Lower 48

120 To examine how the emergence of BA.2.3 differs between Alaska and the Lower 48, we 121 determined the date of first detection and prevalence of BA.2.3 over time in both locations. The 122 first Alaska case assigned to Omicron was detected in the Anchorage-Mat Su region, the most 123 populated region of Alaska, on 2021-11-28. Within four weeks of first detection (by the week of 124 2021-12-19), Omicron had outcompeted Delta in terms of prevalence both in Alaska and the 125 Lower 48 (Figure 1). By the week of 2022-01-16, Delta was detected in less than 1% of sequenced 126 cases for both Alaska and the Lower 48. Omicron cases during this week were dominated by the 127 sublineage BA.1.1 in both Alaska, at 66.3% prevalence, and the Lower 48, at 67.2% prevalence 128 (Figure 1). While BA.1.1 was dominant the week of 2022-01-16 in both locations, BA.2 and 129 sublineages were just starting to be detected in the United States. By the week of 2022-01-16, in 130 the Lower 48 BA.2 comprised only 0.2% of sequenced cases whereas in Alaska no cases of BA.2

131 had been detected. However, the sublineage BA.2.3 was found in 2.7% of sequenced cases in 132 Alaska by this week whereas in the Lower 48 BA.2.3 represented only 0.1% of cases. By 2022-133 02-27, BA.2.3 comprised the majority of cases in Alaska (45.3%) compared to 6.1% in the Lower 134 48. At the same time, BA.2 comprised 9.4% of Lower 48 cases and only 2.5% of Alaska's cases. 135 Although by March BA.2.3 started increasing in prevalence in the Lower 48, BA.2 already 136 displaced BA.1.1, the previously dominant lineage. By the last week of March, 2022, BA.2.3 137 comprised 74.3% of cases in Alaska and 19.2% of cases in the Lower 48. These stark differences 138 in prevalence over time reflect the divergent patterns of emergence of BA.2.3 in Alaska versus 139 the Lower 48.

140 Given the Lower 48 is an aggregate of many distinct, yet connected, communities, we 141 examined the prevalence of sublineages at a finer geographic scale. This finer scale was at a 142 state level for several populous US states including California, Washington, and New York. Each 143 of these states reflected a similar pattern in BA sublineages as the overall Lower 48 with a low 144 prevalence of BA.2.3 compared to Alaska over the study time period. The week of 2022-02-13 145 BA.2.3 already comprised 25.3% of sequenced cases in Alaska and only 1.8% in the Lower 48, 146 1.8% in New York, 2.2% in California, and 2.4% in Washington (Figure 2). The week of 2022-03-147 13 when BA.2.3 comprised a majority of the cases sequenced in Alaska at 67.9% whereas the 148 other states had much lower and variable prevalence in the Lower 48 (10.9%), New York (5.5%), 149 California (16.2%), and in Washington (17.6%) (Figure 2). By the last week of March, the only 150 other state with a BA.2.3 prevalence greater than 40% was California.

151 Although selective advantages, such as transmission potential, posed by SARS-CoV-2 152 variants have played a key role in their emergence over the course of the pandemic, changes in 153 variant prevalence can also be attributed to founder effects (Attwood et al., 2022). In the context 154 of SARS-CoV-2, and other viral pathogens, founder effects result from a chance colonization 155 event allowing a new population of viral lineages to emerge. When a chance colonization event 156 occurs, the growth of a new population of viral lineages can give the impression that one lineage 157 has a growth advantage over others (Rambaut et al., 2004; Ruan et al., 2021). The apparent 158 difference in the emergence of BA.2.3 between Alaska, the Lower 48, and other US states 159 highlights a potential founder effect in which BA.2.3 is acting as the founding sublineage in Alaska. 160 It may have become dominant here and not in other locations because of the timing of emergence 161 and social factors that rendered Alaska communities as a naïve population susceptible to infection 162 by BA.2.3.

163 The composition of SARS-CoV-2 lineages within Alaska and the Lower 48, each 164 containing distinct sets of mutations that define them, were distinct at the time of BA.2.3's

165 emergence in Alaska. The main difference in community composition was the presence of other 166 BA.2 lineages in the Lower 48 and their absence in Alaska (Figure 1). The absence of other BA.2 167 lineages in Alaska could have allowed for the founding of BA.2.3 in the population, similar to how 168 other lineages with specific mutations emerged and became dominant in other locations 169 throughout the pandemic (Ozer et al., 2021; Hodcroft et al., 2021). For example, in the summer 170 of 2020, the 20E lineage of SARS-CoV-2, which had no evidence of increased transmissibility, 171 became the dominant lineage in Europe, likely driven by its founder event in the population paired 172 with the increased connectivity across Europe from travel over the summer months (Hodcroft et 173 al., 2021). It was also suggested the emergence of variant of concern (VOC) Alpha (B.1.1.7) and 174 the associated mutations, like D614G, in part could have been driven by the founder effect (Ozer 175 et al., 2021; Tang et al., 2021). This was suggested because of the inconclusive results over the 176 positive selection of those mutations and coinciding timing with the nexus of dispersal from Asia 177 to Europe associated with the D614G mutation (Grubaugh et al., 2020). Given the emergence of 178 other lineages in the Lower 48 even though BA.2.3 was detected around the same time for many 179 locations, Alaska's emergence of BA.2.3, and B.1.1.519 earlier in the pandemic, implicates repeat 180 occurrences of variant emergence influenced by the founder effect (Haan et al., 2022).

181 Modeling shows variable emergence of BA.2.3 across Alaska

182 Spatiotemporal variation in the emergence and spread of SARS-CoV-2 lineages has been 183 observed at broad geographic levels. The CDC has reported on these regional variations by 184 dividing the United States into ten regions that show distinct communities based on genomic 185 surveillance (CDC, 2022). Here, using genomic surveillance data from Alaska, we found within-186 state variation in the emergence of BA.2.3 between major economic regions of Alaska (Figure 2; 187 Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development, 2021). In Alaska there are six 188 economic regions defined by the Department of Labor and Workforce Development: the 189 Anchorage-Mat Su, Interior, Gulf Coast, Southeast, Southwest, and Northern regions in order 190 from highest to lowest population. BA.2.3 was first detected from two cases collected on 2022-191 01-11 in the Gulf Coast and the Anchorage-Mat Su regions of Alaska. The Gulf Coast is the third 192 most populous region of Alaska and just south of the most populated region, the Anchorage-Mat 193 Su. While many economic regions across Alaska are only connected by air or boat transportation, 194 the Gulf Coast and Anchorage-Mat Su regions are broadly connected via Alaska's road system. 195 When examining a model estimate of BA.2.3 prevalence over time, we found that the Gulf Coast 196 region had the earliest emergence. For the state as a whole, prevalence was estimated to be at 197 greater than 5% the week of 2022-01-19 (Figure 3A), the Anchorage-Mat Su didn't reach 5% until

198 2022-01-25 (Figure 3B), and the Gulf Coast was estimated to reach greater than 5% prevalence 199 on 2022-01-03, which was before BA.2.3 was even detected (Figure 3C). Having the model 200 indicate 5% prevalence before first detection suggests BA.2.3 could have been present in the 201 Gulf Coast region of Alaska before sequencing captured a case of BA.2.3; however, model 202 uncertainty, indicated by the shading, is also consistent with BA.2.3 being absent until the first 203 actual case was detected. In the Gulf Coast, BA.2.3 was estimated to comprise the majority of 204 cases by 2022-02-16, whereas for the state as a whole this didn't occur until weeks later, 2022-205 03-07 and for the Anchorage-Mat Su region this didn't occur until 2022-03-11.

206 Phylogenetics of BA.2.3 provides evidence of multiple introduction events

207 Based on global sequence data of BA.2.3 available on GISAID, we found that within 208 Alaska there are two clades of BA.2.3 comprising the majority of Alaska's cases. These two clades 209 both appear to have emerged from cases originally detected in the Philippines, where BA.2.3 was 210 first detected on 2021-12-02 (Figure 4). When considering cases by economic region of Alaska, 211 there is no evidence that the two clades were introduced to each economic region independently 212 given the interspersed nature of the cases (Figure 5). This suggests that there was mixing of 213 cases between the two regions, rather than two separate introduction events. In other regions of 214 the United States, BA.2.3 cases appear to have emerged from both the Philippines and a clade 215 where South Africa and India cases appear to be dominant early in the tree. (Figure 4). By scaling 216 the branch length by divergence, or number of mutations, we show how the majority of Alaska 217 cases diverged from the early Philippines cases accumulating mutations with further spread in 218 Alaska (Figure 4).

219 Conclusions

220 Using genomic data available in the GISAID repository, we demonstrated the unique 221 emergence and spread patterns of the SARS-CoV-2 sublineage of Omicron BA.2.3 in Alaska 222 compared to the lower 48 contiguous states of the United States. Looking at a finer scale with 223 several major US states, the same stark difference in prevalence of BA.2.3 was observed 224 further highlighting the unique occurrence of BA.2.3 in Alaska. Our phylogenetic analysis paired 225 with logistic regression revealed the potential ancestral origins of BA.2.3 cases in Alaska and 226 how these clades within BA.2.3 emerged and spread by economic region of the state. These 227 repetitive patterns of variant emergence with B.1.1.519 followed by BA.2.3 in Alaska are 228 suggestive of repeat founder events which are reflective of how Alaska's unique location 229 influences the emergence of distinct SARS-CoV-2 variants

230 Data Availability Statement

All data used in this study are available online through the SARS-CoV-2 repository, global initiative on sharing all influenza data (GISAID). These findings are based on analysis of approximately 4,490 genomes accessible via EPI_SET_20220517as and 1,009,539 accessible via EPI_SET_20220517ge. Accession numbers for genomes of Alaska cases, metadata for the United States of America, and Global Nextregion data retrieved from the GISAID can be found using the EPI-SET identifiers at <u>https://www.gisaid.org/</u>.

237 Acknowledgments

238 We gratefully acknowledge all the researchers responsible for obtaining specimens and 239 laboratories where genetic sequence data were generated and shared via the GISAID Initiative 240 (https://www.gisaid.org), on which this research is based. Work presented here was supported 241 by Epidemiology and Laboratory Capacity for Prevention and Control of Emerging Infectious 242 Diseases (ELC) from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and a supplement award 243 to Alaska INBRE, an Institutional Development Award (IDeA) from the National Institute of 244 General Medical Sciences of the National Institutes of Health under grant number 245 2P20GM103395.

- 246 References.
- Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development. (2021). 2020 Population Estimates
 by Borough, Census Area, and Economic Region. Nov 5, 2021.
 <u>https://live.laborstats.alaska.gov/pop/index.cfm</u>
- Alcantara, L. C. J., Nogueira, E., Shuab, G., Tosta, S., Fristch, H., Pimentel, V., ... & Giovanetti,
 M. (2022). SARS-CoV-2 epidemic in Brazil: how variants displacement have driven
 distinct epidemic waves. *Virus Research*, 198785.
- Attwood, S. W., Hill, S. C., Aanensen, D. M., Connor, T. R., & Pybus, O. G. (2022).
 Phylogenetic and phylodynamic approaches to understanding and combating the early
 SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 1-16.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2021). Science brief: Omicron (B.1.1.529) variant,
 CDC COVID-19 science briefs. CDC, Atlanta, GA.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2022). COVID Data Tracker. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC; 2022, May 11. https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker

- Elbe, S., & amp; Buckland-Merrett, G. (2017). Data, disease and diplomacy: GISAID's innovative
 contribution to global health. Global challenges (Hoboken, NJ), 1(1), 33–46.
- Grubaugh, N. D., Hanage, W. P., & Rasmussen, A. L. (2020). Making sense of mutation: what
 D614G means for the COVID-19 pandemic remains unclear. *Cell*, *182*(4), 794-795.
- Haan, T. J., Smith, L. K., DeRonde, S., House, E., Zidek, J., Puhak, D., ... & Drown, D. M.
 (2022). Founder effect contributes to the unique pattern of SARS-CoV-2 variant B. 1.1.
 519 emergence in Alaska. *medRxiv*.
- Iketani, S., Liu, L., Guo, Y., Liu, L., Chan, J.F.W., Huang, Y., Wang, M., Luo, Y., Yu, J., Chu, H.
 and Chik, K.K.H. (2022). Antibody evasion properties of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron
 sublineages. *Nature*, 1-4.
- Khare, S., et al (2021) GISAID's Role in Pandemic Response. China CDC Weekly, 3(49): 1049 1051. doi: 10.46234/ccdcw2021.255 PMCID: 8668406
- Kirby, T. (2021). New variant of SARS-CoV-2 in UK causes surge of COVID-19. *The Lancet Respiratory Medicine*, 9(2), e20-e21.
- Mullen, J.L., Tsueng, G., Latif, A.A., Alkuzweny, M., Cano, M., Haag, E., Zhou, J., Zeller, M.,
 Hufbauer, E., Matteson, N., Andersen, K.G., Wu, C., Su, A.I., Gangavarapu, K., Hughes,
 L.D. (2020) Center for Viral Systems Biology outbreak.info. Available online:
 <u>https://outbreak.info/</u>
- O'Toole, Á., Scher, E., Underwood, A., Jackson, B., Hill, V., McCrone, J. T., & amp; Rambaut,
 A.(2021). Assignment of epidemiological lineages in an emerging pandemic using the
 pangolin tool. Virus Evolution, 7(2), veab064.
- Ozer, E.A., Simons, L.M., Adewumi, O.M., Fowotade, A.A., Omoruyi, E.C., Adeniji, J.A., Dean,
 T.J., Taiwo, B.O., Hultquist, J.F. and Lorenzo-Redondo, R. (2021). High prevalence of
 SARS-CoV-2 B. 1.1. 7 (UK variant) and the novel B. 1.525 lineage in Oyo State, Nigeria. *Medrxiv*.
- Rambaut, A., Holmes, E. C., O'Toole, Á., Hill, V., McCrone, J. T., Ruis, C., du Plessis, L. &
 Pybus, O. G.(2020). A dynamic nomenclature proposal for SARS-CoV-2 lineages to
 assist genomic epidemiology. *Nature microbiology*, *5*(11), 1403-1407.
- Rambaut, A., Posada, D., Crandall, K. A., & Holmes, E. C. (2004). The causes and
 consequences of HIV evolution. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, *5*(1), 52-61.
- Rodríguez-Maldonado, A. P., Vázquez-Pérez, J. A., Cedro-Tanda, A., Taboada, B., Boukadida,
 C., Wong-Arámbula, C., Nuñez-García, T. E., Cruz-Ortiz, N., Barrera-Badillo, G.,
 Hernández-Rivas, L., López-Martínez, I., Mendoza-Vargas, A., Reyes-Grajeda, J. P.,
 Alcaraz, N., Peñaloza-Figueroa, F., Gonzalez-Barrera, D., Rangel-DeLeon, D., HerreraMontalvo, L. A., Mejía-Nepomuceno, F., Hernández-Terán, A., ... Ramírez-González,
 J.E. (2021). Emergence and spread of the potential variant of interest (VOI) B.1.1.519 of

- SARS-CoV-2 predominantly present in Mexico. Archives of virology, 166(11), 3173–
 3177.
- Ruan, Y., Luo, Z., Tang, X., Li, G., Wen, H., He, X., Lu, X., Lu, J. & Wu, C.I. (2021). On the
 founder effect in COVID-19 outbreaks: how many infected travelers may have started
 them all?. *National Science Review*, *8*(1), nwaa246.
- Saha, S., Tanmoy, A. M., Tanni, A. A., Goswami, S., Al Sium, S. M., Saha, S., & Saha, S. K.
 (2021). New waves, new variants, old inequity: a continuing COVID-19 crisis. *BMJ Global Health*, 6(8), e007031.
- Shu, Y., & amp; McCauley, J. (2017). GISAID: Global initiative on sharing all influenza data–from
 vision to reality. Eurosurveillance, 22(13), 30494.
- Stegger, M., Edslev, S.M., Sieber, R.N., Ingham, A.C., Ng, K.L., Tang, M.H.E., Alexandersen,
 S., Fonager, J., Legarth, R., Utko, M. and Wilkowski, B. (2022). Occurrence and
 significance of Omicron BA. 1 infection followed by BA. 2 reinfection. *medRxiv*.
- Tao, K., Tzou, P.L., Nouhin, J., Gupta, R.K., de Oliveira, T., Kosakovsky Pond, S.L., Fera, D.
 and Shafer, R.W. (2021). The biological and clinical significance of emerging SARS CoV-2 variants. Nature Reviews Genetics, 22(12), 757-773.
- Tang, J. W., Tambyah, P. A., & Hui, D. S. (2021). Emergence of a new SARS-CoV-2 variant in
 the UK. *Journal of Infection*, *82*(4), e27-e28.
- Volz, E., Mishra, S., Chand, M., Barrett, J.C., Johnson, R., Geidelberg, L., Hinsley, W.R.,
 Laydon, D.J., Dabrera, G., O'Toole, Á. and Amato, R. (2021). Assessing transmissibility
 of SARS-CoV-2 lineage B. 1.1. 7 in England. *Nature*, *593*(7858), 266-269.

318 Figure Legends

Figure 1. The percent of sequences by week (estimated prevalence) colored by SARS-CoV-2 lineages detected from 2021-11-28 to 2022-04-03 in (A) Alaska and (B) the Lower 48. BA lineages of Omicron except BA.1.1, BA.2, and BA.2.3 are aggregated into B.1.1.529. BA.2 includes all sublineages of BA.2 detected except BA.2.3.

323

Figure 2. The percent of sequences by week (estimated prevalence) belonging to BA.2.3

325 colored by states including Alaska, California, New York, and Washington detected from 2021-326 11-28 to 2022-04-03.

Figure 3. Logistic regression (line = regression; shaded region = standard error) estimating the prevalence of BA.2.3 over time in A) Alaska and the two economic regions of Alaska with a deep enough coverage of cases including (B) the Anchorage-Mat Su and (C) Gulf Coast. Points represent the daily percent of cases assigned to BA.2.3 used to calculate the regression. The red arrow highlights when the regression estimated BA.2.3 was at greater than 5% prevalence.

332

333 Figure 4. Phylogenetic tree of BA.2.3 cases with branch lengths represented by divergence of

cases (number of mutations from Wuhan-Hu-1). Each point is a genome colored by country.

Only countries that provide context for Alaska clades and clade origins are included in thevisualization.

Figure 5. Phylogenetic tree of BA.2.3 cases with branch lengths represented by time. Tree
 includes all BA.2.3 cases from Alaska, all global BA.2.3 cases from December 2021, and all
 global cases after December 2021 through the first week of February 2022 downsampled for
 context. Only cases from Alaska are shown and are colored by economic region. Cases where

341 the economic region is unknown are colored gray.



Figure 1. The percent of sequences by week (estimated prevalence) colored by SARS-CoV-2 lineages detected from 2021-11-28 to 2022-04-02 in (A) Alaska and (B) the Lower 48. BA

lineages of Omicron except BA.1.1, BA.2, and BA.2.3 are aggregated into B.1.1.529. BA.2

includes all sublineages of BA.2 detected except BA.2.3.

348



350



colored by states including Alaska, California, New York, and Washington detected from 2021 11-28 to 2022-04-03.



354

Figure 3. Logistic regression (line = regression; shaded region = standard error) estimating the prevalence of BA.2.3 over time in A) Alaska and the two economic regions of Alaska with a deep enough coverage of cases including (B) the Anchorage-Mat Su and (C) Gulf Coast. Points represent the daily percent of cases assigned to BA.2.3 used to calculate the regression. The red arrow highlights when the regression estimated BA.2.3 was at greater than 5% prevalence.



Figure 4. Phylogenetic tree of BA.2.3 cases with branch lengths represented by divergence of
 cases (number of mutations from Wuhan-Hu-1). Each point is a genome colored by country.
 Only countries that provide context for Alaska clades and clade origins are included in the

365 visualization.

366



Figure 5. Phylogenetic tree of BA.2.3 cases with branch lengths represented by time. Tree includes all BA.2.3 cases from Alaska, all global BA.2.3 cases from December 2021, and downsampled global cases after December 2021 through the first week of February 2022 for context. Only cases from Alaska are shown and are colored by economic region. Cases where the economic region is unknown are colored gray.

373